

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/982,612	SHIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ajay M. Bhatia	2145	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ajay M. Bhatia.

(3) _____.

 (2) David R. Syrowik (27,956).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 March 2006
Time: 3:45pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:


Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner inquired about the status of the case since no reply was received for the action mailed 8/5/05, applicant's representative informed examiner that they have elected not to respond.
Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


JASON CARDONE
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)